01/11/07

39

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(C) NO. 6571/2007

PETITIONER Daya Bhhattacharya, wife of R.K.Bhattacharya, aged about 38 years, Resident of 10/19 Nehru Nagar (East) Bhilai, Chhattisgarh

Vs.

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Registrar, Firm & Societies, 0-5, Anupam Nagar, Raipur (CG)
2. Sindhi Bradar Mandal, Through the Gen. Secretary, Sindhi Bhawan, Street No.3, Sector 4, Bhilai, Durg (CG)
3. Suresh Ratnani, President, Sindhi Bradar Mandal, Resident of Shop No.5, Manohar Medicosa, Sector : Bhilai, Durg (CG)
4. Mr. Vijay Jasuja, Working President, Sindhi Bradar Mandal, Resident of Block No.2, House No.6, Priyadarsini Parisar (West) Nehru Nagar, Bhilai Durg (CG)
5. Mr. Suneet Goklani, General Secretary, Sindhi Bradar Mandal, Resident of HIG 2/9, Board's Extension, Durg (CG)
6. Mr. Ashok Mulchandani, Chief Election officer, Sindhi Bradar Mandal, Sindhi Bhawan, Street No.3, Sector-4, Bhilai (CG)
7. Mr. Dilip Khatwani, Additional Election Officer, Sindhi Bradar Mandal, Sindhi Bhawan, Street No.3, Sector 4, Bhilai, (CG)
8. Mr. Rakesh Nagdev, Additional Election Officer, Sindhi Bradar Mandal, Sindhi Bhawan, Street No.3, Sector 4, Bhilai (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri)**

Shri Anil Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Vinay Harit, Deputy Advocate General for the State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 31st day of October, 2007)

The petitioner, by this petition, challenges the rejection of her nomination for contesting election to the post of representatives in the election to be held on 29.10.2007.

2. The indisputable facts, in nut shell, are that as per election schedule dated 1st October 2007 (Annexure P/3), for holding election of the office

40

bearers of the management of Sindhi Bradar Mandal, the petitioner filed nomination on 16.10.2007 which was rejected. The election for the management of the Mandal was to be held on 29.10.2007. At this stage, once election process has started, this Court cannot interfere with the election process.

3. If the petitioner has any grievance, the petitioner may challenge the same at appropriate stage, before appropriate forum after election is held.

4. In view of the foregoing, no interference is warranted. The petition is accordingly, dismissed.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Suguna